UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

NETLIST, INC.

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD, et al.

Defendants.

NETLIST, INC.

Plaintiff,

v.

MICRON TECHNOLOGY TEXAS, LLC, et al.

Defendants.

Civil Case No. 2:22-cv-00293-JRG (Lead Case)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Civil Case No. 2:22-cv-00294-JRG (Member Case)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

SAMSUNG'S UNOPPOSED MOTION UNDER STANDING ORDER TO EXCEED PAGE LIMIT FOR ATTACHMENTS TO DISCOVERY DISPUTE BRIEFS

Samsung respectfully requests leave to exceed the page limit for attachments to: (1) Samsung's Motion To Preclude Evidence Regarding the Alleged Essentiality of the Asserted Patents (Dkt. 374) and (2) Samsung's Motion To Enforce the Protective Order (Dkt. 375).¹

Specifically, Samsung seeks leave to file: (1) 243 pages of exhibits in total attached to Samsung's Motion To Preclude Evidence Regarding the Alleged Essentiality of the Asserted Patents and (2) 24 pages of exhibits in total attached to Samsung's Motion To Enforce the Protective Order.

¹ This Court's March 11, 2020 Standing Order Regarding "Meet and Confer" Obligations Relating to Discovery Disputes provides that "[a]ttachments to a discovery related motion . . . shall not exceed 5 pages."

Samsung believes that this page extension is necessary and warranted to allow Samsung to support its arguments fairly and adequately.

With respect to Samsung's Motion To Preclude Evidence Regarding the Alleged Essentiality of the Asserted Patents, Samsung seeks to provide excerpts of interrogatory responses, deposition notices, deposition transcripts, expert reports, and correspondences to demonstrate that Netlist failed to meet its disclosure obligations and thus that this Court should preclude Netlist from presenting any essentiality evidence in general. The additional pages are necessary to present a complete record.

With respect to Samsung's Motion To Enforce the Protective Order, Samsung seeks to provide excerpts of briefs from the C.D. Cal. Case and EDTX1, deposition transcripts, declarations, and correspondences to demonstrate that Netlist has violated the Court's Protective Order in this case.

Counsel for Samsung conferred with counsel for Netlist regarding this motion. Netlist stated that it does not oppose the relief sought herein.

A proposed order reflecting the relief requested is attached.

Date: January 17, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Daniel A. Tishman

Melissa Richards Smith melissa@gillamsmith.com GILLAM & SMITH, LLP 303 South Washington Ave. Marshall, Texas 75670 Telephone: (903) 934-8450 Facsimile: (903) 934-9257

J. Travis Underwood Texas Bar No. 24102587 travis@gillamsmithlaw.com GILLAM & SMITH, LLP 102 North College Avenue, Suite 800

Tyler, Texas 75702

Telephone: (903) 934-8450 Facsimile: (903) 934-9257

Ruffin B. Cordell TX Bar No. 04820550 cordell@fr.com Michael J. McKeon D.C. Bar No. 459780 mckeon@fr.com Lauren A. Degnan D.C. Bar No. 452421 degnan@fr.com Daniel A. Tishman DC Bar No. 1013923 tishman@fr.com FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

1000 Maine Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20024 Telephone: (202) 783-5070

Brian R. Nester
DC Bar No. 460225
bnester@cov.com
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N
Washington, DC 20001-4956
Telephone: (202)-662-6000

Alice J. Ahn
CA Bar No. 271399/DC Bar No. 1004350
aahn@cov.com
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
415 Mission Street, Suite 5400
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 591-7091
Facsimile: (415) 955-6571

Facsimile: (202) 783-2331

Francis J. Albert CA Bar No. 247741 albert@fr.com FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 12860 El Camino Real, Ste. 400 San Diego, CA 92130 Telephone: (858) 678-5070 Facsimile: (858) 678-5099

Thomas H. Reger II reger@fr.com Texas Bar No. 24032992 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 1717 Main Street, Suite 5000 Dallas, Texas 75201 Telephone: (214) 747-5070

Telephone: (214) 747-5070 Facsimile: (214) 747-2091

Attorneys for Defendants Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.; Samsung Electronics America, Inc.; and Samsung Semiconductor, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5 on January 17, 2024. As of this date, all counsel of record have consented to electronic service and are being served with a copy of this document through the Court's CM/ECF system under Local Rule CV-5(a)(3)(A).

/s/ Daniel A. Tishman

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

Pursuant to Local Rules CV-7(h) and (i), counsel for the parties met and conferred telephonically on January 16, 2024. Counsel for Netlist indicated that they do not oppose this motion.

/s/ Daniel A. Tishman